



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,938	05/29/2001	Wendell P. Noble	303.330US3	8033

21186 7590 04/30/2002

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.  
P.O. BOX 2938  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

CHEN, JACK S J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2813	

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/866,938</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Noble et al.</b>	92 
	Examiner <b>Jack Chen</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2813</b>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jack Chen

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Edward J. Brooks, III

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 25, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

A phone called was made to the applicant's attorney to request foreign patents, non-patent articles, co-pending applications as shown in the information disclosure statement (IDS) dated on 11/28/2001 and 5/29/2001 (since the above identified documents are not in the parent case). and applicant will send them in later this week or next week.  
The Examiner will act on the case upon the receiving of the IDS.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached



JACK CHEN  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2813

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.